arXiv:1702.04499v1 [math.NT] 15 Feb 2017

On the structure of sets which has coinciding representation functions

Sándor Z. Kiss [*], Csaba Sándor [†]

Abstract

For a set of nonnegative integers A denote by $R_A(n)$ the number of unordered representations of the integer n as the sum of two different terms from A. In this paper we partially describe the structure of the sets, which has coinciding representation functions.

2000 AMS Mathematics subject classification number: 11B34. *Key words and phrases*: additive number theory, additive representation functions, partitions of the set of natural numbers, Hilbert cube.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of nonnegative integers. For a given set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$, $(0 \le a_1 < a_2 < \dots)$ the additive representation functions $R^{(1)}_{h,A}(n)$, $R^{(2)}_{h,A}(n)$ and $R^{(3)}_{h,A}(n)$ are defined in the following way:

$$R^{(1)}_{h,A}(n) = |\{(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h}) : a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_h} = n, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h} \in A\}|,$$

$$R^{(2)}_{h,A}(n) = |\{(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h}) : a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_h} = n, a_{i_1} \le a_{i_2} \le \dots \le a_{i_h}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h} \in A\}|,$$

$$R^{(3)}_{h,A}(n) = |\{(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h}) : a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_h} = n, a_{i_1} < a_{i_2} < \dots < a_{i_h}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_h} \in A\}|.$$

For the simplicity we write $R^{(3)}_{2,A}(n) = R_A(n)$. If A is finite, let $|A|$ denote the cardinality of A.

The investigation of the partitions of the set of nonnegative integers with identical representation functions was a popular topic in the last few decades [1], [3], [4], [5], [7], [9], [11], [12], [13]. It is easy to see that $R^{(1)}_{2,A}(n)$ is odd if and only if $\frac{n}{2} \in A$. It follows that for every positive integer n, $R^{(1)}_{2,C}(n) = R^{(1)}_{2,D}(n)$ holds if and only if $C = D$, where $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ $(c_1 < c_2 < \dots)$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots\}$ $(d_1 < d_2 < \dots)$ are two sets

[*]Institute of Mathematics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, H-1529 B.O. Box, Hungary, kisspest@cs.elte.hu. This research was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office NKFIH Grant No. K115288.

[†]Institute of Mathematics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, H-1529 B.O. Box, Hungary, csandor@math.bme.hu. This author was supported by the OTKA Grant No. K109789. This paper was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

of nonnegative integers. In [8] Nathanson gave a full description of the sets C and D, which has identical representation functions $R^{(1)}_{2,C}(n) = R^{(1)}_{2,D}(n)$ from a certain point on. Namely, he proved the following theorem. Let $C(z) = \sum_{c\in C} z^c$, $D(z) = \sum_{d\in D} z^d$ be the generating functions of the sets C and D respectively.

Theorem 1 (Nathanson, 1978)**.** *Let C and D be different infinite sets of nonnegative integers. Then $R^{(1)}_{2,C}(n) = R^{(1)}_{2,D}(n)$ holds from a certain point on if and only if there exist positive integers n_0, M and finite sets of nonnegative integers F_C, F_D, T with $F_C \cup F_D \subset [0, Mn_0 - 1]$, $T \subset [0, M - 1]$ such that*

$$C = F_C \cup \{lM + t : l \geq n_0, t \in T\},$$

$$D = F_D \cup \{lM + t : l \geq n_0, t \in T\},$$

$$1 - z^M | (F_C(z) - F_D(z))T(z).$$

We conjecture [6] that the above theorem of Nathanson can be generalized in the following way.

Conjecture 1 (Kiss, Rozgonyi, Sándor, 2012)**.** *For $h > 2$ let C and D be different infinite sets of nonnegative integers. Then $R^{(1)}_{h,C}(n) = R^{(1)}_{h,D}(n)$ holds from a certain point on if and only if there exist positive integers n_0, M and finite sets F_C, F_D, T with $F_C \cup F_D \subset [0, Mn_0 - 1]$, $T \subset [0, M - 1]$ such that*

$$C = F_C \cup \{lM + t : l \geq n_0, t \in T\},$$

$$D = F_D \cup \{lM + t : l \geq n_0, t \in T\},$$

$$(1 - z^M)^{h-1} | (F_C(z) - F_D(z))T(z)^{h-1}.$$

For $h = 3$ Kiss, Rozgonyi and Sándor proved [6] Conjecture 1. In the general case when $h > 3$ we proved that if the conditions of Conjecture 1 are hold then $R^{(1)}_{h,C}(n) = R^{(1)}_{h,D}(n)$ holds from a certain point on. Later Rozgonyi and Sándor in [10] proved that the above conjecture holds, when $h = p^\alpha$, where $\alpha \geq 1$ and p is a prime.

It is easy to see that for any two different sets C, $D \subset \mathbb{N}$ we have $R^{(2)}_{2,C}(n) = R^{(2)}_{2,D}(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let i denote the smallest index for which $c_i \neq d_i$, thus we may assume that $c_i < d_i$. It is clear that $R^{(2)}_{2,C}(c_1 + c_i) > R^{(2)}_{2,D}(c_1 + c_i)$, which implies that there exists a nonnegative integer n such that $R^{(2)}_{2,C}(n) \neq R^{(2)}_{2,D}(n)$. We pose two problems about this representation function.

Problem 1. *Determine all the sets of nonnegative integers C and D such that $R^{(2)}_{2,C}(n) = R^{(2)}_{2,D}(n)$ holds from a certain point on.*

As far as we know the following weaker question is still unsolved. Despite all the efforts we could not settle it.

Problem 2. *Does there exist two sets nonnegative integers C and D such that their symmetric difference is infinite and $R^{(2)}_{2,C}(n) = R^{(2)}_{2,D}(n)$ holds from a certain point on?*

In this paper we focus on the representation function $R_A(n)$. We partially describe the structure of the sets, which has identical representation functions. To do this we define the Hilbert cube which plays a crucial role in our results. Let $\{h_1, h_2, \dots\}$ $(h_1 < h_2 < \dots)$ be finite or infinite set of positive integers. The set

$$H(h_1, h_2, \dots) = \Big\{ \sum_i \varepsilon_i h_i : \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\} \Big\}$$

is called Hilbert cube. The even part of a Hilbert cube is the set

$$H_0(h_1, h_2, \dots) = \Big\{ \sum_i \varepsilon_i h_i : \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}, 2 | \sum_i \varepsilon_i \Big\},$$

and the odd part of a Hilbert cube is

$$H_1(h_1, h_2, \dots) = \Big\{ \sum_i \varepsilon_i h_i : \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}, 2 \nmid \sum_i \varepsilon_i \Big\}.$$

We say a Hilbert cube $H(h_1, h_2, \dots)$ is half non-degenerated if the representation of any integer in $H_0(h_1, h_2, \dots)$ and $H_1(h_1, h_2, \dots)$ is unique, that is $\sum_i \varepsilon_i h_i \neq \sum_i \varepsilon_i^{'} h_i$ whenever $\sum_i \varepsilon_i \equiv \sum_i \varepsilon_i^{'} \bmod 2$, where $\varepsilon_i^{'} \in \{0, 1\}$.

First we study what can be told about the cardinality of the sets with identical representation functions.

Theorem 2. *Let C and D be different finite sets of nonnegative integers such that for every n positive integer, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ holds. Then we have $|C| = |D| = 2^l$.*

If $0 \in C$ and for $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots\}$, $0 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots$ we have $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$ (sequences C and D are different), then $d_1 > 0$ otherwise let us suppose that $c_i = d_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, but $c_n < d_n$, which implies that $R_C(c_1 + c_n) > R_D(c_1 + c_n)$, a contradiction.

If $|C| = |D| = 1$ and $0 \in C$ with $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$, then we have $C = \{0\}$ and $D = \{d_1\}$. Therefore, $C = H_0(d_1)$ and $D = H_1(d_1)$.

If $|C| = |D| = 2$ and $0 \in C$ with $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$, then $C = \{0, c_2\}$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2\}$. In this case $1 = R_C(0 + c_2)$ and for $n \neq c_2$ we have $R_C(n) = 0$. Moreover, $1 = R_C(d_1 + d_2)$ and for $n \neq d_1 + d_2$ we have $R_D(n) = 0$. This implies that $d_1 + d_2 = c_1 + c_2 = c_2$, that is $C = \{0, d_1 + d_2\} = H_0(d_1, d_2)$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2\} = H_1(d_1, d_2)$.

If $|C| = |D| = 4$ and $0 \in C$ with $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$, then let $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$, $c_1 = 0$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4\}$, where $d_1 > 0$. Then we have

$$c_1 + c_2 < c_1 + c_3 < c_1 + c_4, c_2 + c_3 < c_2 + c_4 < c_3 + c_4$$

and

$$d_1 + d_2 < d_1 + d_3 < d_1 + d_4, d_2 + d_3 < d_2 + d_4 < d_3 + d_4$$

which implies that $c_1 + c_2 = d_1 + d_2$ therefore, $c_2 = d_1 + d_2$ and $c_1 + c_3 = d_1 + d_3$, thus we have $c_3 = d_1 + d_3$. If $c_2 + c_3 = d_2 + d_3$, then $(d_1 + d_2) + (d_1 + d_3) = d_2 + d_3$, that is $d_1 = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $c_2 + c_3 = d_1 + d_4$, that is $(d_1 + d_2) + (d_1 + d_3) = d_1 + d_4$. This implies that $d_4 = d_1 + d_2 + d_3$. Finally $c_1 + c_4 = d_2 + d_3$, that is $c_4 = d_2 + d_3$. Thus we have $C = \{0, d_1 + d_2, d_1 + d_3, d_2 + d_3\} = H_0(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_1 + d_2 + d_3\} = H_1(d_1, d_2, d_3)$.

In the next step we prove that if the sets are even and odd part of a Hilbert cube, then the corresponding representation functions are identical.

Theorem 3. *Let $H(h_1, h_2, \dots)$ be a half non-degenerated Hilbert cube.*

If $C = H_0(h_1, h_2, \dots)$ and $D = H_1(h_1, h_2, \dots)$, then for every positive integer n, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ holds.

It is easy to see that Theorem 3 is equivalent to Lemma 1 of Chen and Lev in [2]. First they proved the finite case $H(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ by induction on n and the infinite case was a corollary of the finite case. For the sake of completeness we give a different proof by using generating functions. Chen and Lev asked [2] whether Theorem 3 described all different sets C and D of nonnegative integers such that $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$. The following conjecture is a simple generalization of the above question formulated by Chen and Lev [2] but we use a different terminology.

Conjecture 2. *Let C and D be different infinite sets of nonnegative integers with $0 \in C$. If for every positive integer n, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ holds then there exist positive integers $d_{i_1}, d_{i_2}, \dots, \in D$, where $d_{i_1} < d_{i_2} < \dots$ and a half non-degenerated Hilbert cube $H(d_{i_1}, d_{i_2}, \dots)$ such that*

$$C = H_0(d_{i_1}, d_{i_2}, \dots),$$
$$D = H_1(d_{i_1}, d_{i_2}, \dots).$$

We showed above that Conjecture 2 is true for the finite case $l = 0, 1, 2$. Unfortunately we could not settle the cases $l \geq 3$, which seems to be very complicated. In the next step we prove a weaker version of the above conjecture.

Theorem 4. *Let $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_{2^n}\}$, $(0 < d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_{2^n})$ be a set of nonnegative integers, where $d_{2^k+1} \geq 4d_{2^k}$, for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $d_{2^k} \leq d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_5 + \dots + d_{2^i+1} + \dots + d_{2^{k-1}+1}$ for $k = 2, \dots, n$. Let C be an infinite set of nonnegative integers such that $0 \in C$. If for every positive integer m, $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$ holds, then*

$$C = H_0(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \dots, d_{2^k+1}, \dots, , d_{2^{n-1}+1}),$$

and

$$D = H_1(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \dots, d_{2^k+1}, \dots, , d_{2^{n-1}+1}).$$

For any sets of nonnegative integers A and B we define the sumset $A + B$ by

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

In the special case $b + A = \{b + a : a \in A\}$, where b is an arbitrary nonnegative integer. Let $q\mathbb{N}$ denote the dilate of the set $\mathbb{N}$ by the factor q, that is $q\mathbb{N}$ is the set of nonnegative integers divisible by q. Let $R_{A+B}(n)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation $a + b = n$, where $a \in A$, $b \in B$. In [2] Chen and Lev proved the following nice result.

Theorem 5. *(Chen and Lev, 2016) Let l be a positive integer. Then there exist sets C and D of nonnegative integers such that $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$, $C \cap D = 2^{2l} - 1 + (2^{2l+1} - 1)\mathbb{N}$ and for every positive integer n, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ holds.*

This theorem is an easy consequence of Theorem 3 by putting

$$H(1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l} - 1, 2^{2l+1} - 1, 2(2^{2l+1} - 1), 4(2^{2l+1} - 1), 8(2^{2l+1} - 1), \dots).$$

The details can be found in the first part of the proof of Theorem 6. Chen and Lev [2] posed the following question.

Conjecture 3. *(Chen and Lev, 2016) Is it true that if C and D are different sets of nonnegative integers such that $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$, $C \cap D = r + m\mathbb{N}$ with integers $r \geq 0$, $m \geq 2$ and for any positive integer n, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ then there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that $r = 2^{2l} - 1$ and $m = 2^{2l+1} - 1$?*

A stronger version of this conjecture can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture 4. *Is it true that if C and D are different sets of nonnegative integers such that $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$, $C \cap D = r + m\mathbb{N}$ with integers $r \geq 0$, $m \geq 2$ and for every positive integer n, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ then there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that*

$$C = H_0(1, 2, 4, 8, \ldots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l} - 1, 2^{2l+1} - 1, 2(2^{2l+1} - 1), 4(2^{2l+1} - 1), 8(2^{2l+1} - 1), \ldots),$$

and

$$D = H_1(1, 2, 4, 8, \ldots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l} - 1, 2^{2l+1} - 1, 2(2^{2l+1} - 1), 4(2^{2l+1} - 1), 8(2^{2l+1} - 1), \ldots)?$$

We prove that Conjecture 2 implies Conjecture 4.

Theorem 6. *Assume that Conjecture 2 holds. Then there exist C and D different infinite sets of nonnegative integers such that $C \cup D = \mathbb{N}$, $C \cap D = \{r + m\mathbb{N}\}$ with integers $r \geq 0$, $m \geq 2$ and for every positive integer n, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ if and only if there exists an integer $l \geq 1$ such that*

$$C = H_0(1, 2, 4, 8, \ldots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l} - 1, 2^{2l+1} - 1, 2(2^{2l+1} - 1), 4(2^{2l+1} - 1), 8(2^{2l+1} - 1), \ldots)$$

and

$$D = H_1(1, 2, 4, 8, \ldots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l} - 1, 2^{2l+1} - 1, 2(2^{2l+1} - 1), 4(2^{2l+1} - 1), 8(2^{2l+1} - 1), \ldots).$$

2 Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Applying the generating functions of the sets C and D, we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_C(n) z^n = \frac{C(z)^2 - C(z^2)}{2},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_D(n) z^n = \frac{D(z)^2 - D(z^2)}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$R_C(n) = R_D(n) \Leftrightarrow C(z)^2 - D(z)^2 = C(z^2) - D(z^2). \tag{1}$$

Let $l + 1$ be the largest exponent of the factor $(z - 1)$ in $C(z) - D(z)$ i.e.,

$$C(z) - D(z) = (z - 1)^{l+1} p(z), \tag{2}$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial and $p(1) \neq 0$. Writing (2) in (1) we get that

$$(C(z) + D(z))(z - 1)^{l+1} p(z) = (z^2 - 1)^{l+1} p(z^2),$$

thus we have $(C(z) + D(z))p(z) = (z + 1)^{l+1} p(z^2)$. Putting $z = 1$, we have $C(1) + D(1) = 2^{l+1}$, which implies that $|C| + |D| = 2^{l+1}$. On the other hand

$$\binom{|C|}{2} = \sum_m R_C(m) = \sum_m R_D(m) = \binom{|D|}{2},$$

therefore $|C| = |D|$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2. ∎

3 Proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. By (1) we have to prove that $C(z)^2 - D(z)^2 = C(z^2) - D(z^2)$. It is easy to see from the definition of C and D that

$$\prod_i (1 - z^{h_i}) = \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_t} (-1)^t z^{h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_t}} = C(z) - D(z).$$

On the other hand clearly we have $C(z) + D(z) = \prod_i (1 + z^{h_i})$. Thus we have

$$C(z)^2 - D(z)^2 = \Big(C(z) - D(z)\Big)\Big(C(z) + D(z)\Big) = \prod_i (1 - z^{h_i}) \cdot \prod_i (1 + z^{h_i})$$

$$= \prod_i (1 - z^{2h_i}) = C(z^2) - D(z^2).$$

The proof is completed. □

4 Proof of Theorem 4.

We prove by induction on n. In the case $n = 0$, then $C = \{0\}$ and $D = \{d_1\}$ therefore, for every positive integer m we have $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$. For $n = 1$, then $C = \{0, c_2\}$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2\}$. As for every positive integer m, $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$ holds it follows that $R_D(d_1 + d_2) = 1 = R_C(d_1 + d_2)$, thus we have $C = \{0, d_1 + d_2\} = H_0(d_1, d_2)$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2\} = H_1(d_1, d_2)$. Assume that the statement of Theorem 4 holds for $n = N - 1$ and we will prove it for $n = N$. Let D be a set of nonnegative integers, $D = \{d_1, \ldots, d_{2^N}\}$, where $d_{2^k+1} \geq 4d_{2^k}$, for $k = 0, \ldots, N-1$ and $d_{2^k} \leq d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_5 + \ldots + d_{2^i+1} + \ldots + d_{2^{k-1}+1}$ for $k = 2, \ldots, N-1$. If C is a set of nonnegative integers such that $0 \in C$ and for every positive integer m, $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$ holds then we have to prove that

$$C = H_0(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^k+1}, \ldots, d_{2^{N-1}+1}),$$

and

$$D = H_1(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^k+1}, \ldots, d_{2^{N-1}+1}).$$

Define the sets

$$C_1 = \{c_1, \ldots, c_{2^{N-1}}\}, \qquad C_2 = C \setminus C_1,$$

and

$$D_1 = \{d_1, \ldots, d_{2^{N-1}}\}, \qquad D_2 = D \setminus D_1.$$

We prove that for every positive integer m, we have

$$R_{C_1}(m) = R_{D_1}(m). \tag{3}$$

When $n = N-1$ and $d_{2^{N-1}} \leq \frac{1}{4} d_{2^{N-1}+1}$ it follows that for any d_i, $d_j \in D_1$ we have $d_i + d_j \leq \frac{1}{4} d_{2^{N-1}+1} + \frac{1}{4} d_{2^{N-1}+1} = \frac{1}{2} d_{2^{N-1}+1}$. This implies that for every $\frac{1}{2} d_{2^{N-1}+1} \leq m \leq d_{2^{N-1}+1}$ we have $R_D(m) = 0$, which yields $R_C(m) = 0$. As $0 \in C$, thus we have a representation

$m = 0 + m$, it follows that $m \notin C$. We will show that C_1 and D_1 contains the first few elements of C and D respectively, i.e.,

$$C_1 = \left[0, \frac{1}{2} d_{2^{N-1}+1}\right] \cap C, \qquad\qquad D_1 = \left[0, \frac{1}{2} d_{2^{N-1}+1}\right] \cap D.$$

We distinguish two cases. In the first case we assume that $c_{2^{N-1}+1} \leq \frac{d_{2^{N-1}+1}}{2}$. Then we have

$$\binom{2^{N-1}+1}{2} \leq \sum_{m<d_{2^{N-1}+1}} R_C(m) = \sum_{m<d_{2^{N-1}+1}} R_D(m) = \binom{2^{N-1}}{2}$$

which is a contradiction. In the second case we assume that $c_{2^{N-1}} > \frac{d_{2^{N-1}+1}}{2}$, which implies that $c_{2^{N-1}} \geq d_{2^{N-1}+1}$. Then we have

$$\binom{2^{N-1}-1}{2} \geq \sum_{m<d_{2^{N-1}+1}} R_C(m) = \sum_{m<d_{2^{N-1}+1}} R_D(m) = \binom{2^{N-1}}{2}$$

which is absurd. We get that

$$R_{C_1}(m) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } m \geq d_{2^{N-1}+1} \\ R_C(m), \text{ if } m < d_{2^{N-1}+1} \end{cases},$$

and

$$R_{D_1}(m) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } m \geq d_{2^{N-1}+1} \\ R_D(m), \text{ if } m < d_{2^{N-1}+1} \end{cases}.$$

It follows that for every positive integer m, $R_{C_1}(m) = R_{D_1}(m)$ so the proof of (3) is completed. Hence we get that

$$C_1 = H_0(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^k+1}, \ldots, , d_{2^{N-2}+1})$$

and

$$D_1 = H_1(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^k+1}, \ldots, , d_{2^{N-2}+1}).$$

We have to prove that $C_2 = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + H_1(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^{N-2}+1}) = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + D_1$ and $D_2 = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + H_0(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^{N-2}+1}) = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + C_1$.

Define the sets

$$C_{2,n} = \{c_{2^{N-1}+1}, c_{2^{N-1}+2}, \ldots, c_{2^{N-1}+n}\},$$

and

$$D_{2,n} = \{d_{2^{N-1}+1}, d_{2^{N-1}+2}, \ldots, d_{2^{N-1}+n}\}.$$

On the other hand define the sets

$$C_1{+}C_{2,n} = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots\}, \ (p_1 < p_2 < \ldots), \qquad\qquad C_{2,n}{+}C_{2,n} = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots\}, \ (t_1 < t_2 < \ldots),$$

and

$$D_1{+}D_{2,n} = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots\}, \ (q_1 < q_2 < \ldots), \qquad\qquad D_{2,n}{+}D_{2,n} = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots\}, \ (s_1 < s_2 < \ldots).$$

Denote by $H^{(n)}$ the first $2^{N-1}+2n$ elements of the Hilbert cube

$$H(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^k+1}, \ldots, d_{2^{N-1}+1}).$$

Obviously,

$$C_1 + C_1 \subset [0, d_{2^{N-1}+1}]\,.$$

We will prove by induction on n that

$$H^{(n)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n}.$$

For $n = 1$ we have $D_{2,1} = \{d_{2^{N-1}+1}\}$, and we have to prove that $c_{2^{N-1}+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$. Assume that

$$c_{2^{N-1}+1} < d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1. \tag{4}$$

Obviously, $c_{2^{N-1}+1} > d_{2^{N-1}+1}$. We have $c_{2^{N-1}+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+1} + 0$, thus $1 \leq R_C(c_{2^{N-1}+1}) = R_D(c_{2^{N-1}+1})$, which implies that $c_{2^{N-1}+1} = d_i + d_j$, $i < j$, $d_i, d_j \in D$. If $j \leq 2^{N-1}$, then by using the first condition in Theorem 4 we have

$$c_{2^{N-1}+1} = d_i + d_j \leq 2d_{2^{N-1}} \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{2^{N-1}+1},$$

which contradicts the inequality $c_{2^{N-1}+1} \geq d_{2^{N-1}+1}$. On the other hand when $j \geq 2^{N-1}+1$, we have

$$c_{2^{N-1}+1} = d_i + d_j \geq d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1},$$

which contradicts (4). Assume that $c_{2^{N-1}+1} > d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$. Obviously, $1 \leq R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1) = R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1)$, which implies that $d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} = c_i + c_j$, $i < j$, $c_i, c_j \in C$. If $j \leq 2^{N-1}$, then we have

$$d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} = c_i + c_j \leq 2c_{2^{N-1}} \leq d_{2^{N-1}+1},$$

which is absurd. On the other hand when $j \geq 2^{N-1} + 1$, we have

$$d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} = c_i + c_j \geq c_{2^{N-1}+1} > d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1,$$

which is a contradiction therefore $H^{(1)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,1} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,1}$. If $C_{2,n}, D_{2,n} \subset H(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^k+1}, \ldots$ then the conditions on d_is in Theorem 4 imply that

$$C_1 + C_{2,n}, D_1 + D_{2,n} \subset]d_{2^{N-1}+1}, 2d_{2^{N-1}+1}[\tag{5}$$

and

$$C_{2,n} + C_{2,n}, D_{2,n} + D_{2,n} \subset [2d_{2^{N-1}+1}, \infty[\,. \tag{6}$$

In order to prove $H^{(n)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n}$ we need two lemmas. Let i be the smallest index u such that $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(p_u) > R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_u)$. If there does not exist such i, then $p_i = +\infty$. Let j be the smallest index v such that $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(q_v) < R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(q_v)$. If there does not exist such j, then $q_j = +\infty$. Let k be the smallest index w such that $R_{C_{2,n}}(t_w) > R_{D_{2,n}}(t_w)$. If there does not exist such k, then $t_k = +\infty$. Let l be the smallest index x such that $R_{C_{2,n}}(s_x) < R_{D_{2,n}}(s_x)$. If there does not exist such l, then $s_l = +\infty$. The following observations play a crucial role in the proof.

Lemma 1. *Let us suppose that* $H^{(n)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n}$. *Then we have*

(i) $min\{p_i, c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} = min\{q_j, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$,

(ii) $min\{t_k, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} = min\{s_l, d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$.

Proof. In the first step we prove (i). We will prove that $p_i = +\infty$ is equivalent to $q_j = +\infty$ and for $p_i = q_j = +\infty$ we have $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$. If $p_i = +\infty$, then by the definition we have $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(p_i) \leq R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_i)$ for every i, thus for every positive integer m we have

$$R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) \leq R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m) \tag{7}$$

On the other hand we have

$$2^{N-1} \cdot n = \sum_m R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) \leq \sum_m R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m) = 2^{N-1} \cdot n,$$

thus for every positive integer m we have $\sum_m R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = \sum_m R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$. In view of (7) we get that $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$, which implies that $q_j = +\infty$. If $q_j = +\infty$, the by the definition $R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(q_j) \leq R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(q_j)$ for every j, thus for every positive integer m we have

$$R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) \geq R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m) \tag{8}$$

On the other hand we have

$$2^{N-1} \cdot n = \sum_m R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) \geq \sum_m R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m) = 2^{N-1} \cdot n,$$

thus for every positive integer m we have $\sum_m R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = \sum_m R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$. In view of (8) we get that $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$, which implies that $p_i = +\infty$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. Assume that $p_i = +\infty$, $q_j = +\infty$ and for every positive integer m we have $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$. We have to prove that $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. Assume that $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} < d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. Since $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = 0 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, where $0 \in C_1$ but $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in C \backslash C_{2,n}$ it follows from (5) and (6) that $R_D(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$ and $R_C(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. Thus we have

$$R_D(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) < R_C(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which is absurd. Similarly, if $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} > d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then it follows from (5) and (6) that $R_D(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$ because $d_1 \in D_1$, $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in D \setminus D_{2,n}$ and $R_C(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. Thus we have

$$R_C(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$$

$$< R_D(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that $p_i < +\infty$ and $q_j < +\infty$. We have two subcases.

Case 2a. Assume that $min\{p_i, c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} < min\{q_j, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$.

If $p_i \leq c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then obviously $p_i < d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, which implies by (5) and (6) that $R_D(p_i) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_i)$. By using the above facts and the definition of p_i we obtain that

$$R_C(p_i) \geq R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(p_i) > R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_i) = R_D(p_i),$$

which contradicts the fact that for every positive integer n, $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ holds. On the other hand if $p_i > c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then by the definition of p_i, $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \leq R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$ and since $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = 0+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, $0 \in C_1$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in C \setminus C_{2,n}$ therefore we have

$$R_C(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \tag{9}$$

and the assumption $min\{p_i, c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} < min\{q_j, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$ implies that $q_j > c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. It follows from the definition of q_j that $R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \leq R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. We get that

$$R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}). \tag{10}$$

It follows from $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} < d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, (5) and (6) that $R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_D(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. On the other hand we obtain from from (5),(6),(9) and (10) that

$$R_D(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) < R_C(c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which contradicts the fact that for every positive integer m, $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$ holds.

Case 2b. Assume that $min\{p_i, c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} > min\{q_j, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$.
If $q_j \leq d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then obviously $q_j < c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, which implies from (5) and (6) that $R_C(q_j) = R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(q_j)$. By using the above facts and the definition of q_j we obtain from (5) and (6) that

$$R_C(q_j) = R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(q_j) < R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(q_j) \leq R_D(q_j),$$

which contradicts the fact that for every positive integer m, $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$ holds.
On the other hand if $q_j > d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then by the definition of q_j, $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \geq R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$ and since $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in D \setminus D_{2,n}$, we have

$$R_D(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \tag{11}$$

and from $min\{p_i, c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} > min\{q_j, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$ we get that $p_i > d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. It follows from the definition of p_i and from (5) and (6) that $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \leq R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. We obtain that

$$R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}). \tag{12}$$

It follows from $min\{p_i, c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} > min\{q_j, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$ that $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} > d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ therefore, it follows from (5) and (6) that

$$R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_C(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}). \tag{13}$$

On the other hand we obtain from (11),(12) and (13) that

$$R_D(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$$

$$= R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_C(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which contradicts the fact that for every positive integer m, $R_C(m) = R_D(m)$ holds. The proof of (i) in Lemma 1 is completed.
The proof of (ii) in Lemma 1 is similar to the proof of (i). For the sake of completeness we present it.
We prove that $s_k = +\infty$ is equivalent to $t_l = +\infty$ and in this case $R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_{2,n}}(m)$ for every m.
If $t_k = +\infty$, then by the definition we have $R_{C_{2,n}}(t_k) \leq R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k)$ for every k, thus for every m positive integer we have

$$R_{C_{2,n}}(m) \leq R_{D_{2,n}}(m). \tag{14}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \sum_m R_{C_{2,n}}(m) \leq \sum_m R_{D_{2,n}}(m) = \binom{n}{2},$$

thus

$$\sum_m R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = \sum_m R_{D_{2,n}}(m).$$

In view of (14) we get that for every positive integer m, $R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_{2,n}}(m)$ holds. This implies that $s_l = +\infty$.
If $s_l = +\infty$, the by the definition $R_{C_{2,n}}(s_l) \geq R_{D_{2,n}}(s_l)$ for every l, thus for every m positive integer we have

$$R_{C_{2,n}}(m) \geq R_{D_{2,n}}(m). \tag{15}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\binom{n}{2} = \sum_m R_{C_{2,n}}(m) \geq \sum_m R_{D_{2,n}}(m) = \binom{n}{2},$$

thus for every m positive integer we have

$$\sum_m R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = \sum_m R_{D_{2,n}}(m).$$

In view of (15) we get that $R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_{2,n}}(m)$ for every m, which implies that $t_k = +\infty$.
We distinguish two cases.
Case 1. Assume that $t_k = +\infty$, $s_l = +\infty$ and $R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_{2,n}}(m)$. We have to prove that $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. Assume that $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} > d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. As $d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, where $c_{2^{N-1}+1} \in C_{2,n}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in C \setminus C_{2,n}$ it follows that $R_C(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{C_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. On the other hand it follows from $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} > d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and (5), (6) that $R_D(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_{2,n}}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$ therefore,

$$R_D(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_2,n}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$$

$$= R_{C_2,n}(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) < R_C(d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which is absurd. Similarly, if $d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} > d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then it follows that $R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$ because $d_{2^{N-1}+1} \in D_{2,n}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in D \setminus$

$D_{2,n}$. On the other hand since $c_{2^{N-1}+1}+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_1+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} > d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ then it follows from (5) and (6) that $R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. Thus

$$R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$$

$$= R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) < R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that $t_k < +\infty$ and $s_l < +\infty$. We have two subcases.

Case 2a. Assume that $min\{t_k, d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} < min\{s_l, d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$. If $t_k \leq d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then obviously $t_k < d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, by using (5) and (6) this implies that $R_D(t_k) = R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k)$. Applying the above facts and the definition of t_k we obtain that

$$R_C(t_k) \geq R_{C_{2,n}}(t_k) > R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k) = R_D(t_k),$$

which contradicts the fact that $R_C(t_k) = R_D(t_k)$.

On the other hand if $t_k > d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then by the definition of t_k we have $R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \leq R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$, moreover since $d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, where $c_{2^{N-1}+1} \in C_{2,n}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in C \setminus C_{2,n}$ we obtain that $R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. It follows from $min\{t_k, d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} < min\{s_l, d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$ that $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} < s_l$ and it follows from the definition of s_l that $R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \leq R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. We get that $R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. It follows from $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} < d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and from (5) and (6) that $R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. Hence

$$R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$$

$$= R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) < R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which contradicts the fact that $R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$.

Case 2b. Assume that $min\{t_k, d_1+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} > min\{s_l, d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$. If $s_l \leq d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then obviously $s_l < d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and it follows from (5) and (6) that $R_C(s_l) = R_{C_{2,n}}(s_l)$. By using the above facts and the definition of s_l we obtain that

$$R_C(s_l) = R_{C_{2,n}}(s_l) < R_{D_{2,n}}(s_l) \leq R_D(s_l),$$

which contradicts the fact that $R_C(s_l) = R_D(s_l)$.

On the other hand if $s_l > d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then by the definition of s_l, $R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \geq R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$ and since $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} \in D \setminus D_{2,n}$, we have $R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) > R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. From $min\{t_k, d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\} > min\{s_l, d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}\}$ we get $t_k > d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and it follows from the definition of t_k that $R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) \leq R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. We get that $R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. On the other hand

we obtain from $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} < d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and it follows from (5) and (6) that

$$R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_{C_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$$

$$= R_{D_{2,n}}(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) < R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}),$$

which contradicts the fact that $R_C(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}) = R_D(d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1})$. The proof of (ii) in Lemma 1. is completed. □

Lemma 2. *Let us suppose that the set $H^{(n)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n}$. If the quantities p_i, q_j, t_k and s_l are finite then they can be written in the form $\delta_1 d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \delta_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}$, where $\delta_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.*

(i) If $p_i < +\infty$ and $q_j < +\infty$, and $min\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_1 d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \delta_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}$, where $\delta_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, then $\delta_1 = 0$,

(ii) If $t_k < +\infty$ and $s_l < +\infty$, and $min\{t_k, s_l\} = \delta_1 d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \delta_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}$, where $\delta_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, then $\delta_1 = 0$.

Proof. First we prove (i). Assume that $\delta_1 = 1$. We will prove that for $m = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}$ we have $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$, which is a contradiction. We will give a one-to-one correspondence betweeen the sums $m = c_s + c_{s'}$, $c_s \in C_1, c_{s'} \in C_{2,n}$ and the sums $m = d_s + d_{s'}$, $d_s \in D_1, d_{s'} \in D_{2,n}$ If $m = d_s + d_{s'}$, where

$$c_s = \varepsilon_1^{(s)} d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$c_{s'} = \varepsilon_1^{(s')} d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

It follows that $\varepsilon_i^{(s)} + \varepsilon_i^{(s')} = 1$, where $c_{s'} - c_s > 2d_1$. The corresponding representation is $m = d_s + d_{s'}$, $d_s < d_{s'}$, where

$$d_s = (1 - \varepsilon_1^{(s)}) d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$d_{s'} = (1 - \varepsilon_1^{(s')}) d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

Assume that $\delta_1 = 2$ and $p_i < q_j$. Hence $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(m)$ for $m < p_i$. For $p_i = c_s + c_{s'}$, $c_s < c_{s'}$, we have

$$c_s = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$c_{s'} = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

which implies that for

$$d_s = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$d_{s'} = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

$p_i - 2d_1 = d_s + d_{s'}$, $d_s < d_{s'}$, $d_s \in D_1$, $d_{s'} \in D_{2,n}$ and this is a one-to-one correspondence between $(c_s, c_{s'})$ and $(d_s, d_{s'})$. Thus we have $R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(p_i) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_i - 2d_1)$. Similarly one can be shown that $R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_i) = R_{C_1+C_{2,n}}(p_i - 2d_1)$, which contradicts the fact that $R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_i - 2d_1) = R_{D_1+D_{2,n}}(p_i - 2d_i)$. The proof of (i) is completed. The proof of (ii) is similar to the proof of (i). For the sake of completeness we will present it.
Assume that $\delta_1 = 1$. We will prove that for $m = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}$, then $R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_{2,n}}(m)$, which is a contradiction. In order to prove it we give a one-to-one correcpondence between the sums $m = c_s + c_{s'}$, $c_s, c_{s'} \in C_{2,n}$ and the sums $m = d_s + d_{s'}$, $d_s, d_{s'} \in D_{2,n}$
If $m = c_s + c_{s'}$, $c_s < c_{s'}$, where

$$c_s = \varepsilon_1^{(s)} d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$c_{s'} = \varepsilon_1^{(s')} d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

It follows that $\varepsilon_i^{(s)} + \varepsilon_i^{(s')} = 1$, where $c_s - c_{s'} > 2d_1$, $c_s, c_{s'} \in C_{2,n}$. The corresponding representation is $m = d_s + d_{s'}$, $d_s < d_{s'}$, where

$$d_s = (1 - \varepsilon_1^{(s)}) d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$d_{s'} = (1 - \varepsilon_1^{(s')}) d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

Assume that $\delta_1 = 2$ and $t_k < s_l$. In this case $R_{C_{2,n}}(t_k) > R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k)$. Then $R_{C_{2,n}}(m) = R_{D_{2,n}}(m)$ for $m < t_k$. Then if $t_k = c_j + c_{j'}$, $c_j, c_{j'} \in C_{2,n}$, where

$$c_j = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$c_{j'} = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

Putting

$$d_j = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$d_{j'} = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

we have $d_j + d_{j'} = t_k - 2d_1$, $d_j, d_{j'} \in D_{2,n}$ which implies that $R_{C_{2,n}}(t_k) = R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k - 2d_1)$. As $p_i = d_k + d_{k'}$, where

$$d_k = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$d_{k'} = d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

it follows that $c_l + c_{l'} = t_k - 2d_1$, where

$$c_s = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s)} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

$$c_{s'} = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \varepsilon_i^{(s')} d_{2^{i-2}+1}.$$

We obtain that $R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k) = R_{C_{2,n}}(t_k - 2d_1)$, that is $R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k - 2d_1) > R_{C_{2,n}}(t_k - 2d_1)$, which contradicts the fact that $R_{C_{2,n}}(t_k - 2d_1) = R_{D_{2,n}}(t_k - 2d_1)$. The proof of (ii) is completed.

□

Now we are ready to prove that $H^{(n)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n}$. We will show that for every $1 \leq i \leq 2^{N-1}$ we have $c_{2^{N-1}+i} = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_i$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+i} = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_i$. We prove by induction on n.

Let us suppose that $H^{(n)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n}$ and we are going to prove that $H^{(n+1)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n+1} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n+1}$. To prove this it is enough to verify that $H^{(n)} = C_1 \cup C_{2,n} \cup D_1 \cup D_{2,n}$ and therefore quantities $p_i, q_j.t_k$ and s_l determine uniquely $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, because the $2^{N-1} + 2n + 1$th and $2^{N-1} + 2n + 2$th elements from Hilbert cube $H(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_5, \ldots, d_{2^k} + 1, \ldots, d_{2^{N-1}+1})$ are suitable continuation.

We have four cases.

Case 1. Assume that $p_i = +\infty$, $q_j = +\infty$, $t_k = +\infty$, $s_l = +\infty$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1}$, thus we have $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} - d_1$ a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that $p_i = +\infty$, $q_j = +\infty$, $t_k < +\infty$, $s_l < +\infty$. It follows from (i) in Lemma 2 that $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. On the other hand it follows from (ii) in Lemma 1 that we have four subcases.

Case 2a. If $d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, thus $d_1 = 0$ a contradiction.

Case 2b. If $t_k = s_l$ a contradiction by definition of t_k and s_l, because $t_k < +\infty$, $s_l < +\infty$.

Case 2c. If $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1$ and $t_k = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

Case 2d. If $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1$ and $s_l = d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

Case 3. Assume that $p_i < +\infty$, $q_j < +\infty$, $t_k = +\infty$, $s_l = +\infty$. It follows from (ii) Lemma 1. that $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1 = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, thus $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$. On the other hand it follows from (i) Lemma 1 that we have four subcases.

Case 3a. If $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} - d_1$ a contradiction.

Case 3b. If $p_i = q_j$ a contradiction because of the definition of p_i and q_j.

Case 3c. If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1$ and $p_i = d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

Case 3d. If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1$ and $q_j = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$, then $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

Case 4. If $p_i < +\infty$, $q_j < +\infty$, $t_k < +\infty$, $s_l < +\infty$. It follows from Lemma 1 that we have four subcases.

Case 4a. If $p_i < q_j$ and $t_k < s_l$, then it follows from Lemma 1 that $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ or $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = p_i$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$ or $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = t_k$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$, then $2d_1 = 0$ a contradiction.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = t_k$, then $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = p_i$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$, then $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = p_i$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = t_k$, then it follows $d_1 + t_k = p_i + d_{2^{N-1}+1}$. By using (ii) in Lemma 2 we have

$$p_i = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \delta_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}, \quad t_k = \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \delta_i^{'} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

where $\delta_i, \delta_i^{'} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, therefore we have

$$d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \delta_i^{'} d_{2^{i-2}+1} = d_{2^{N-1}+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \delta_i d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

which is absurd because if m can be written as $\alpha_1 d_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{N+1} \alpha_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}$, $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ then this form is unique by the conditions $d_1 \leq \frac{1}{4} d_2$ and $d_{2^{i-1}+1} \leq \frac{1}{4} d_{2^i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$.

Case 4b. If $p_i < q_j$ and $t_k > s_l$, then it follows from Lemma 1 that $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ or $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = p_i$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$ or $d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = s_l$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$, then $2d_1 = 0$ a contradiction.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = s_l$, then $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = p_i$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$, then $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = p_i$ and $d_1 + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = s_l$, then $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

Case 4c. If $p_i > q_j$ and $t_k < s_l$, then it follows from Lemma 1 that $q_j = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ or $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_1 = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = c_{2^{N-1}+n+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_1$ or $d_{2^{N-1}+1} + d_{2^{N-1}+n+1} = t_k$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_1=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1$, then $2d_1=0$ a contradiction.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_1=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=t_k$, then $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=q_j$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1$, then $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=q_j$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=t_k$, then $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

Case 4d. If $p_i>q_j$ and $t_k>s_l$, then it follows from Lemma 1 that $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_1=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ or $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=q_j$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1$ or $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=s_l$.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_1=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1$, then $2d_1=0$ a contradiction.

If $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_1=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=s_l$, then $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=q_j$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1$, then $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+n+1}$ are determined from set $H^{(n)}$.

If $c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=q_j$ and $d_{2^{N-1}+1}+d_1+c_{2^{N-1}+n+1}=s_l$, then $d_1+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+q_j=s_l$. By using (i) in Lemma 2 we have

$$q_j=\sum_{i=2}^{N+1}\delta_i d_{2^{i-2}+1},\quad s_l=\sum_i \delta_i^{'} d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

where $\delta_i,\delta_i^{'}\in\{0,1,2\}$, therefore thus we have

$$d_1+d_{2^{N-1}+1}+\sum_{i=2}^{N+1}\delta_i^{'}d_{2^{i-2}+1}=\sum_{i=2}^{N+1}\delta_i d_{2^{i-2}+1},$$

which is absurd because if m can be written as $\alpha_1 d_1+\sum_{i=2}^{N+1}\alpha_i d_{2^{i-2}+1}$, $\alpha_i\in\{0,1,2\}$ then this form is unique by the condition $d_1\le\frac{1}{4}d_2$ and $d_{2^{i-1}+1}\le\frac{1}{4}d_{2^i+1}$ for $i=1,2,\dots,N-1$.

5 Proof of Theorem 6.

First we prove that for $H=H(1,2,4,8,\dots,2^{2l-1},2^{2l}-1,2^{2l+1}-1,2(2^{2l+1}-1),4(2^{2l+1}-1),8(2^{2l+1}-1),\dots)$, $C=H_0$ and $D=H_1$ we have $C\cup D=\mathbb{N}$, $C\cap D=2^{2l}-1+(2^{2l+1}-1)\mathbb{N}$ and $R_C(m)=R_D(m)$. It is easy to see that for $H^{'}=H(h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{2l+1})=H(1,2,4,8,\dots,2^{2l-1},2^{2l}-1)$, $C^{'}=H_0^{'}$ and $D^{'}=H_1^{'}$ we have $C^{'}\cup D^{'}=[0,2^{2l+1}-2]$ and $C^{'}\cap D^{'}=\{2^{2l}-1\}$ because $2^{2l}-1=h_{2l+1}=h_1+h_2+\dots+h_{2l}=1+2+4+\dots+2^{2l-1}$. On the other hand for $H^{''}=H(2^{2l+1}-1,2(2^{2l+1}-1),4(2^{2l+1}-1),8(2^{2l+1}-1),\dots)$, $C^{''}=H_0^{''}$ and $D^{''}=H_1^{''}$ we have $C^{''}\cup D^{''}=(2^{2l+1}-1)\mathbb{N}$ and $C^{''}\cap D^{''}=\emptyset$, which implies that $C\cup D=\mathbb{N}$ and $C\cup D=2^{2l}-1+(2^{2l+1}-1)\mathbb{N}$, moreover by Theorem 3 for every positive integer m we have $R_C(m)=R_D(m)$.

On the other hand let us suppose that for some sets C and D we have $C\cup D=\mathbb{N}$ and $C\cap D=r+m\mathbb{N}$. By Conjecture 2 we may assume that for some Hilbert cube $H(h_1,h_2,\dots)$ we have $C=H_0$ and $D=H_1$. We have to prove the existence of integer l such that $h_i=2^{i-1}$ for $1\le i\le 2l$, $h_{2l+1}=2^{2l}-1$ and $h_{2l+2+j}=2^j(2^{2l+1}-1)$ for

$j = 0, 1, \dots$ We may suppose that $h_1 = 1$ and $h_2 = 2$. Consider the Hilbert cube $H(1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^u, h_{u+2}, \dots)$, where $h_{u+2} \neq 2^{u+1}$. Denote by $v = h_{u+2}$. We will prove that $v = 2^{u+1} - 1$. Assume that $v > 2^{u+1}$. Then it is clear that $2^{u+1} \notin H$, because $1 + 2 + \dots + 2^u = 2^{u+1} - 1 < 2^{u+1}$. Thus we have $v < 2^{u+1}$, i.e., $v \leq 2^{u+1} - 1$. Assume that $v \leq 2^{u+1} - 2$. Considering v as a one term sum it follows that $v \in D$. On the other hand if $v = \sum_{i=0}^{u} \lambda_i 2^i$, $\lambda_i \in \{0, 1\}$ then $\sum_{i=0}^{u} \lambda_i$ must be even otherwise v would have two different representations from D. It follows that $v \in C$ and that $v + 1 = h_1 + h_{2l+1} \in C$. On the other hand if we have a representation $v + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{u} \delta_i 2^i$, $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$ then $\sum_{i=0}^{u} \delta_i$ must be odd otherwise v would have two different representations from C. This implies that $v + 1 \in D$ thus we have v, $v + 1 \in C \cap D$. It follows that $C \cap D = \{v, v + 1, \dots\}$ is an arithmetic progression with difference 1 This implies that the generating function of the sets C and D are of the form

$$C(z) = p(z) + \frac{z^v}{1 - z},$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial and

$$D(z) = q(z) + \frac{z^v}{1 - z},$$

where $q(z)$ is a polynomial and

$$p(z) + q(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + \dots + z^{v-1} = \frac{1 - z^v}{1 - z}.$$

Since $R_C(n) = R_D(n)$ then we have $C^2(z) - D^2(z) = C(z^2) - D(z^2)$. It follows that

$$\Big(p(z) + \frac{z^v}{1 - z}\Big)^2 - \Big(q(z) + \frac{z^v}{1 - z}\Big)^2 = p(z^2) + \frac{z^{2v}}{1 - z^2} - q(z^2) - \frac{z^{2v}}{1 - z^2},$$

which implies that

$$p^2(z) - q^2(z) + \frac{2z^v}{1 - z}(p(z) - q(z)) = p(z^2) - q(z^2).$$

Thus we have

$$(p(z) - q(z)) \cdot \frac{1 + z^v}{1 - z} = p(z^2) - q(z^2),$$

$$\Big(p(z) - \Big(\frac{1 - z^v}{1 - z} - p(z)\Big)\Big) \cdot \frac{1 + z^v}{1 - z} = p(z^2) - \Big(\frac{1 - z^{2v}}{1 - z^2} - p(z^2)\Big).$$

We get that

$$2p(z)\frac{1 + z^v}{1 - z} - 2p(z^2) = \frac{1 - z^{2v}}{(1 - z)^2} - \frac{1 - z^{2v}}{1 - z^2},$$

then we have

$$p(z)\frac{1 + z^v}{1 - z} - p(z^2) = \frac{z(1 + z^2 + \dots + z^{2v-2})}{1 - z},$$

i.e.,

$$p(z)(1 + z^v) - p(z^2)(1 - z) = z(1 + z^2 + \dots + z^{2v-2}). \tag{16}$$

Assume that the degree of the polynomial $p(z)$ is k. Obviously $k \leq v-1$. If $k \leq v-2$ then it is easy to see that the degree of the right hand side of (16) is $2v-1$, while the degree of the left hand side is at most $2v-2$ which is absurd. Thus we have $k = v-1$. In this case the coefficient of z^{2v-1} in the left hand side of (16) is 2, while on the right hand side is 1, which is a contradiction. Thus we get that $v = 2^{u+1}-1$. It follows that the Hilbert cube is the form $H(1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^u, 2^{u+1}-1, \dots)$. As $h_{u+2} = 2^{u+1}-1 = 1+2+\dots+2^u = h_1+h_2+\dots+h_{u+1}$ and $2^{u+1}-1$ as a one term sum contained in D, thus $u+1$ must be even i.e., $u+1 = 2l$. It follows that there exists an integer l s.t. $h_i = 2^{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2l$ and $h_{2l+1} = 2^{2l}-1$.

We will prove by induction on j that $h_{2l+2+j} = 2^j(2^{2l+1}-1)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$. For $j = 0$ take the Hilbert cube of the form $H(1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l}-1, h_{2l+2}, \dots)$. Denote by $w = h_{2l+2}$. We prove that $w = 2^{2l+1}-1$. Assume that $w > 2^{2l+1}-1$. Since $1+2+\dots+2^{2l-1}+2^{2l}-1 < 2^{2l+1}-1$ it follows that $2^{2l+1}-1 \notin H = C \cup D$, which is impossible, therefore $w \leq 2^{2l+1}-1$. Assume that $w \leq 2^{2l+1}-3$. We will show that $w \in C \cap D$. Obviously w is a one term sum contained in D. Since w has a representation from $H(h_1, \dots, h_{2l+1})$, w must be an element of C otherwise w would have two different representations from D which is absurd. In the next step we will prove that $w+1 \in C \cap D$. Obviously $w+1 = h_1 + h_{2l+2}$ as a two terms sum contained in C. Since $w+1$ has a representation from the Hilbert cube $H(h_1, \dots, h_{2l+1})$ and $w+1 \leq 2^{2l+1}-2$ we have $w+1 \in D$. It follows that $w,\ w+1 \in C \cap D$, which is impossible. It follows that the only possible values of w are $w = 2^{2l+1}-2$, or $w = 2^{2l+1}-1$. Assume that $w = 2^{2l+1}-2$. Then it is clear that $w \in D$. On the other hand $2^{2l}-2 = 1+2+\dots+2^{2l-1}+2^{2l}-1 = h_1+h_2+\dots+h_{2l+1}$, where in the right hand side there is $2l+1$ terms, which is absurd. Thus we have $w = 2^{2l+1}-1$. In this case $(2^{2l}-1)+(2^{2l+1}-1) \in C \cap D$, $(C \cap D) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{2l+1}-1\} = \{2^{2l}-1\}$ and $C \cap D = r + m\mathbb{N}$ implies $r = 2^{2l}-1$ and $m = 2^{2l+1}-1$.

In the induction step we assume that for some k we know $h_{2l+2+j} = 2^j(2^{2l+1}-1)$ holds for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ and we prove $h_{2l+2+k+1} = 2^{k+1}(2^{2l+1}-1)$. Let $H^{(k)} = H(1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l}-1, 2^{2l+1}-1, 2(2^{2l+1}-1), 4(2^{2l+1}-1), 8(2^{2l+1}-1), \dots, 2^k(2^{2l+1}-1))$, $C^{(k)} = H_0^{(k)}$ and $D^{(k)} = H_0^{(k)}$. Then $C^{(k)} \cap D^{(k)} = \{2^{2l}-1+i(2^{2l+1}-1) : i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k-1$. If $C = H_0(1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^{2l-1}, 2^{2l}-1, 2^{2l+1}-1, 2(2^{2l+1}-1), 4(2^{2l+1}-1), 8(2^{2l+1}-1), \dots, 2^k(2^{2l+1}-1), h_{2l+2+k+1}, \dots)$, then $C \cap D = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$, where $e_i = 2^{2l}-1+(i-1)(2^{2l+1}-1)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $e_{2^{k+1}+1} = 2^{2l}-1+2^{k+1}(2^{2l+1}-1)$. On the other hand $e_{2^{k+1}+1} = 2^{2l}-1+h_{2l+1+k+1}$, which yields $h_{2l+1+k+1} = 2^{k+1}(2^{2l+1}-1)$, which completes the proof.

References

[1] Y. G. Chen. *On the values of representation functions*, Sci. China Math., **54** (2011), 1317-1331.

[2] Y. G. Chen, V. F. Lev. *Integer sets with identical representation functions*, INTEGERS, **16** (2016), A36.

[3] Y. G. Chen, M. Tang. *Partitions of natural numbers with the same representation functions*, J. Number Theory, **129** (2009), 2689-2695.

[4] Y. G. Chen, B. Wang. *On additive properties of two special sequences*, Acta Arith., **113** (2003), 299-303.

[5] G. Dombi. *Additive properties of certain sets*, Acta Arith. **103** (2002), 137-146.

[6] S.Z. Kiss, E. Rozgonyi, Cs. Sándor. *Sets with almost coinciding representation functions*, Bulletin of the Australian Math. Soc., **89** (2014), 97-111.

[7] V. F. Lev. *Reconstructing integer sets from their representation functions*, Electron. J. Combin, **11** (2004), R78.

[8] M. B. Nathanson. *Representation functions of sequences in additive number theory*, Proceedings of the American Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 16-20.

[9] Z. Qu. *On the nonvanishing of representation functions of some special sequences*, Discrete Math., **338** (2015), 571-575.

[10] E. Rozgonyi, Cs. Sándor. *An extension of Nathanson's Theorem on representation functions*, Combinatorica, **164** (2016), 1-17.

[11] Cs. Sándor. *Partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions*, INTEGERS, **4** (2004), A18.

[12] M. Tang. *Partitions of the set of natural numbers and their representation functions*, Discrete Math., **308** (2008), 2614-2616.

[13] M. Tang, W. Yu. *A note on partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions*, INTEGERS, **12** (2012), A53.